The Court hereby ORDERS the following regarding PI/SD Plaintiffs' Requests for Production ("RFP") Nos. 16 and 18 to Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google, LLC (together, "Defendants"), pursuant to the Court's Discovery Management Conference on October 24, 2024.

- a) With regard to RFP No. 16, which seeks "[d]ocuments sufficient to show all features, classifiers, data sets, dimensions, and/or demographics that [Defendants] use to categorize users of, or accounts on, [the YouTube Platform] [,]" Plaintiffs have agreed to limit this RFP to three categories (user research, advertising, and growth teams) and YouTube claims lack of relevance and undue burden. Accordingly, the Parties are directed to meet and confer on the following:
  - i) Plaintiffs are directed to identify to Defendants the existing document custodians within the above three categories (user research, advertising, growth) who Plaintiffs believe are likely to possess documents responsive to RFP 16.
  - The Parties will meet and confer in the event that Defendants object to Plaintiffs' list of identified document custodians, to reach agreement on the custodians that YouTube will consult pursuant to section (iii) below. To the extent any dispute remains, the Parties will update the Court in the November Discovery Management Conference Statement.
  - iii) YouTube, in turn, will consult with each agreed upon or Court-ordered identified custodian regarding the existence of non-privileged schemas, protos, software architecture documents (excluding source code), demographic surveys, user questionnaires, or other like documents that identify or describe all, or a substantial number, of the categories used by YouTube to categorize users for purposes of user research, advertising, and/or engagement.
  - iv) YouTube shall produce, subject to section (v) below, any non-privileged unproduced documents that the consulted custodians identify, are responsive to Plaintiffs' RFP 16, and can be located.

[PROPOSED] ORDER CASE No.: 4:22-03047-YGR

- v) To the extent that Defendants cannot locate a responsive non-privileged unproduced document that a consulted custodian identified or otherwise object to production of such a document as unduly burdensome or disproportional, the Parties will meet and confer to reach agreement on the scope of production of documents responsive to RFP No. 16. To the extent any dispute remains, the Parties will update the Court in the November Discovery Management Conference Statement.
- vi) YouTube shall not limit production of responsive documents, in particular user surveys and questionnaires, to only those that relate to "minors".
- b) With regard to RFP No. 18, which seeks documents that "constitute, identify, describe, or discuss any analysis of the demographics of users of [the YouTube Platform] [,]" the Parties have reached agreement as to this RFP with respect to production of responsive documents relating to age and gender, but have not reached agreement as to production of race/ethnicity demographics. Accordingly, the Parties are directed to meet and confer as follows regarding production of responsive documents pertaining to race/ethnicity:
  - Plaintiffs are directed to identify to Defendants (1) the types of documents regarding analyses of race and/or ethnicity that they are seeking through this Request; and (2) the existing document custodians who Plaintiffs believe are likely to possess those types of documents responsive to RFP 18, as it relates to race/ethnicity.
  - The Parties will meet and confer in the event that Defendants object to Plaintiffs' identification of document types and/or list of identified document custodians, including based on burden or proportionality, to reach agreement on the scope of a search of documents responsive to RFP No. 18.

    To the extent any dispute remains, the Parties will update the Court in the November Discovery Management Conference Statement.

- iii) YouTube, in turn, will consult with each agreed upon or Court-ordered identified custodian regarding the existence of non-privileged responsive documents regarding race/ethnicity demographic analysis conducted by YouTube.
- iv) YouTube shall produce, subject to any limitations agreed to in (ii) above and subject to section (v) below, any non-privileged documents that the consulted custodians identify, that are responsive to Plaintiffs' RFP 18 concerning analyses of race and/or ethnicity, and can be located.
- v) To the extent that Defendants cannot locate a responsive non-privileged unproduced document that a consulted custodian identified or otherwise object to production of such a document as unduly burdensome or disproportional, the Parties will meet and confer to reach agreement on the scope of production of documents responsive to RFP No. 18. To the extent any dispute remains, the Parties will update the Court in the November Discovery Management Conference Statement.
- c) With respect to both RFP 16 and 18, to the extent YouTube has found through its reasonable search and collection in this case any documents that are responsive to either Request but have not been produced because they did not fall within the scope of YouTube's prior agreement to produce, it should produce them.
- d) The Parties will provide an update regarding RFP Nos. 16 and 18 in the November Discovery Management Statement.

## IT IS SO ORDERED

DATE: October 31, 2024

Hon. Peter H. Kang United States Magistrate Judge

CASE No.: 4:22-03047-YGR

1	DATED:	October 30, 2024	By: /s/ Lauren Gallo White Lauren Gallo White (State Bar No. 309075)
2			Samantha A. Machock (State Bar No. 298852) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
3			Professional Corporation One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, Suite 3300
4			San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 947-2000
5			Facsimile: (415) 947-2099 Email: lwhite@wsgr.com
6			Email: smachock@wsgr.com
7			Brian M. Willen (pro hac vice) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
8			Professional Corporation 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
9			New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
10			Facsimile: (212) 999-5899 Email: bwillen@wsgr.com
11			Christopher Chiou (State Bar No. 233587)
12			Matthew K. Donohue (State Bar No. 302144) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
13			Professional Corporation 953 East Third Street, Suite 100
14			Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (323) 210-2900
15			Facsimile: (866) 974-7329 Email: cchiou@wsgr.com
16			Email: mdonohue@wsgr.com
17			Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC
18	DATED:	October 30, 2024	By: /s/ Lexi J. Hazam
19			LEXI J. HAZAM LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
20			BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Errorian CA 04111 23220
21			San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: 415-956-1000
22			lhazam@lchb.com
23			PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
24			401 9th Street NW Suite 630 Washington DC 20004
25			Telephone: 202-386-9610 pwarren@motleyrice.com
26			Co-Lead Counsel
27			CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
28			<b>SEEGER WEISS, LLP</b> 55 Challenger Road, 6 <sup>th</sup> floor

[Proposed] Order -4- Case No.: 4:22-03047-YGR

Document 1294

Filed 10/31/24 Page 6 of 9

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR

[PROPOSED] ORDER -5- CASE No.: 4:22-03047-YGR

carrie@cagoldberglaw.com

MELISSA YEATES JOSEPH E. MELTZER

28

Document 1294

Filed 10/31/24 Page 9 of 9

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR

[PROPOSED] ORDER -8- CASE NO.: 4:22-03047-YGR